Subscriber Group Meeting

Oct. 16, 2012, Bloomington, MN

Evaluation Form SUMMARY

# of in-person meeting participants = 19 (including APX representatives but not including Amy)

(eval form not sent to phone participants because the goal was to receive feedback unique to the in-person nature of participation, which is new for the Subscriber Group)

# of evaluation forms submitted = 12 (63%)

What did you feel was most beneficial about this meeting today?

- The ability to put a face to the voices over the phone.
- In person meetings better than calls, webinars
- Face to face discussion and participation
- In person putting faces with names and voices. Getting direct feedback on what was done, what is and future plans
- Chance to talk face to face
- In-person keeps my attention
- Face to face interaction. Discussion with board member on the issues MRETS is facing
- Building relationships – more focused participation
- Hearing the strategic plan and vision
- Being in person - names now have faces
- Meeting others involved in MRETS and learning about various perspectives and uses – and possibilities

What do you feel could be improved about this meeting?

- We may need a little more time to brainstorm/collaborate at next face to face.
- Would like to know what/how board members and subscribers see/use MRETS. More than just quick introductions.
- Like the current structure and agenda
- Start at noon, end at 4 pm to allow 1 day with no need for over night
- Perhaps start earlier to give more industry group break-out time
- Technical interface for phone in
- More small group discussion
- Maybe a team building activity? Airport hotel feels cold. Attendance may grow with better location
- Maybe more time for talking in small groups – looking out towards the larger world.

What other suggestions do you have for future in-person meetings like this one?

- More participation will likely come next year as people become more aware with better communications
- Breakout sessions good for providing feedback. Keep as an annual event.

- Longer time for breakout with board rep, more time for feedback as a group.
- The more the better
- More discussion on the vision for MRETS' future. MRETS concerns going forward
- Provide attendee list/bios
- Perhaps a speaker on the larger world of energy and how it may affect tracking systems

What would you like to see discussed at future Subscriber Group meetings, either via web-conference or in-person?

- Fees, structure of MRETS and APX
- Discussion on MRETS becoming a trading platform and the benefit that it could bring to stakeholders.
- Education on changes to the system
- Look into generation tracking across all unit types – not just renewables

Please rate the following by circling one number (1 being lowest and 5 being highest):

Location – city	**1**	**2 x1**	**3x 1**	**4 x4**	**5 x4**
• Too far from city					
Location - hotel					
• Nice hotel					
• Prefer city rather than airport location	**1x1**	**2**	**3**	**4 x7**	**5 x2**
Date					
• Will accommodate- but good to have with annual meeting					
	1	**2**	**3x1**	**4x7**	**5x2**
Time_____	**1**	**2**	**3x1**	**4 x6**	**5x3**
Handouts_____	**1**	**2**	**3 x2**	**4 x6**	**5x2**
Presentations_____	**1**	**2**	**3 x1**	**4 x7**	**5x2**
Small group break-out sessions _____	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4 x2**	**5x7**

Any other comments?____

- Summer time in the Wisconsin Dells would be a good time and location
- Great to know/find out info on other subscribers/board members. Was interesting to hear different subscribers' unique uses of MRETS.
- Good job of staying on schedule
- Thank you!
- Thanks for organizing the in person meeting.
- Board dinner felt private/exclusive. Maybe have meet/greet after board dinner?

THANK YOU FOR PARTICIPATING IN THE MEETING AND FOR YOUR FEEDBACK!

Midwest Renewable Energy Tracking System, Inc.
1885 University Avenue West, Suite 315, Saint Paul, MN 55104
P 651 789 3338 F 651 645 1262
mrets.org