

Γ

Subscriber Group Meeting

Summary of Evaluation Forms

Oct. 23, 2013, Rochester, MN

	Participation					
28	In-Person Attendees-not including staff					
15	attendees via Webex-evaluation forms were not distributed to this group					
8	28% of the In-Person attendees returned the evaluation form-some left early					
	Travel Considerations					
	Travel Considerations					
11	Travel Considerations Attendees were located within about 1-2 hours of Rochester					
11 6						

What did you feel was most beneficial about this meeting today?

- Very good topics, presenters, dialogue
- MISO presentation
- Networking, PSC, PUC, access to staff
- Networking opportunities and discussing with other utilities to understand their processes
- Networking, putting faces to names, talking about common issues
- Helpful to meet some of the players
- Meeting stakeholders face to face, MISO presentation was very informative
- Small Group breakout sessions

What do you feel could be improved about this meeting?

- Coffee all day and restocked
- Do breakouts first
- Live demos by Bryan rather than verbal and screenshot walk throughs-even if in development mode
- Schedule the breaks in the schedule
- Longer breaks for networking
- Hollow Square vs. crescents-staff suggestion.

What other suggestions do you have for future in-person meetings like this one?

• 2x/yr

What would you like to see discussed at future Subscriber Group meetings, either via web-conference or in-person?

- Continued discussion w/existing topics
- Seems to be attention lately on "Cost of RPS". Would be interesting to hear from regulators how they look at it. Difficult to unwind things that impact LMPs such as TM upgrades and wind additions
- Would like to know how others track RECs internally within their company. Excel or purchased software?
- *M-RETS to offer organizing service for fee to other systems around the U.S.*
- Open small group discussion on improvements that M-RETS could make or new services to offer.

Please rate the following by circling one number (1 being lowest and 5 being highest):

Location - city	_ 1	2	3 <mark>-3</mark>	4 -5	5
Location - hotel	_ 1	2	3 -1	4 - <mark>2</mark>	5 - 4
Date	1	2	3	4 -7	5 <mark>-1</mark>
Time	1	2	3	4 -5	5- <mark>2</mark>
Handouts	1	2	3	4 -4	5- <mark>3</mark>
Presentations	_ 1	2	3	4 - 4	5 <mark>-3</mark>
Small group break-out sessions	_ 1	2	3	4 - <mark>3</mark>	5- <mark>3</mark>

Any other comments:

- Would like to see emails with links to newly posted board meetings.
- Keep the networking events and the evening outing
- If Rocheshter, why not just keep it in Minneapolis?
- Build the breaks into the schedule.
- Comments from MN Webex attendee that the audio was poor quality and that the speaker was difficult to hear.